

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,787	10/24/2003	Sehat Sutardja	MP0400	2332
26703 7590 03/09/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C. 5445 CORPORATE DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
SUITE 200 TROY, MI 480	98		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
	······································			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/693,787	SUTARDJA, SEHAT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-243 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-74,98-154,173-155 Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-23,75-97,155-172,187,188 and 247 Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	186 and 189-239 is/are withdrawn f 10-243 is/are rejected	rom consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Burest * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/05 AND 1/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/693,787

Art Unit: 2838

## Election/Restrictions

1. In response to the Election/Restriction dated October 23, 2006, Applicant elects Species I that includes FIGs. 1A, 5A-B, and 17A for prosecution on the merits. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-12, 15, 16, 19, 22-23, 40-46, 49, 51, 75-76, 81-86, 89-91, 93, 95-97, 114-120, 123, 125, 155-174, 177, 180, 181, 184, 187-188, 195-197, 219-221, and 237-243 read upon FIGs. 1A, 5A-B, and 17A. After reviewing above election examiner found some discrepancy in electing claims examiner arranged telephone interview with applicant on January 12, 2007 and discussed over lapping subject matters. In telephone interview examiner suggested following group of claims for election,

Claims 1-23,75-97,155-172,187-188 and 240-243 and reconfirm it with Mr. Justin Pursel on February 20 2007 and agreement was done on phone to proceed with suggested group of claims. Accordingly, claims 24-74,98-154,173-186 and 189-239 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,787.

Art Unit: 2838

3. Claims 1,3-23,75, 77-97,187-188 and 240-243 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,853,568) in combination with Riggio et al. (U.S. patent # 6,493,242).

Li et al. disclose the claimed subject matters a coupled inductor for converting energy from a source of input to an output (figure 1-8), including a at least two conduction switches (figure 1, item 112 and 115), at least two inductor (figure 1, item 114 and 117), at least two freewheeling switches (figure 1, item 113 and 116), a drive circuit (column 2. line 25-30), a synchronous rectifier (column 2, line 30-35), series structure and turn ratio (column 2, line 45-50), However Li et al. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for a drive circuit has a duty cycle approximately 50%, the drive signals synchronous to clock signals, a fly back topology, a boost topology, a voltage ratio. Riggio et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique a drive circuit has a duty cycle approximately 50%, the drive signals synchronous to clock signals (column 6, line 20-50), a fly back topology (column 11, line 35-50), a boost topology (column 7, line 25-40), a voltage ratio (column 22, line 15-35. It would have been obvious one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Li et al.'s voltage regulator by utilizing the technique taught by Riggio et al. for the purpose of increase the efficiency of the voltage regulator circuit.

Claims 2, 76 and 155-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,853,568) in combination with Riggio et al. (U.S. patent #

Application/Control Number: 10/693,787

Art Unit: 2838

6,493,242) and further in combination with Wittenbreder (U.S. Patent # 6,822,427) and A.F. Podell (U.S. Patent # 3,529,233).

Li et al. in combination with Riggio et al. disclose the claimed subject matters as explained in the claims 1,75 and 155 above, except the utilization of the technique for the coefficient of coupling is approximately at least, 0.99 boost and fly back topology. and a lattice network. Wittenbreder teaches the utilization of the similar technique for the coefficient of coupling is approximately at least, 0.99, boost and fly back topology (column 27, line 30-50 and column 28, line 10-25) and A.F. Podell teaches the utilization of the technique for a lattice network (column 1, line 55-70). It would have been obvious one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to modify Li et al. in combination with Riggio et al.'s regulating circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Wittenbreder and A. F. Podell for the purpose providing a balanced input and one pole phase shifting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,787 Page 5

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838

\*\*\*